

## ADE SURFACE SINGULARITIES, CHAMBERS AND TORIC VARIETIES

*by*

Meral Tosun

---

**Abstract.** — We study the link between the positive divisors supported on the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution of a rational double point and the root systems of Dynkin diagrams. Then, we calculate the toric variety corresponding to the fundamental Weyl chamber.

**Résumé (Singularités ADE des surfaces, chambres et variétés toriques).** — Nous étudions le lien entre les diviseurs positifs à support sur le diviseur exceptionnel de la résolution minimale d'un point double rationnel et les systèmes de racine des diagrammes de Dynkin. Puis, nous calculons la variété torique correspondant à la chambre fondamentale de Weyl.

### 1. Introduction

A singularity of a normal analytic surface is rational if the geometric genus of the surface doesn't change by a resolution of the singularity. These singularities are rather simple among surface singularities since they are absolutely isolated and their resolutions have some nice combinatoric properties. A classification of rational singularities is done by the dual graph of the minimal resolution according to their multiplicities (see [11] for details and related references).

First, DuVal observed that the dual graph of the minimal resolution of a rational singularity of multiplicity 2, called rational double point, with algebraically closed field is one of the Dynkin diagrams  $A_n$ ,  $D_n$ ,  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$  and  $E_8$ , briefly ADE diagrams (see [2] or [4]). This means that the intersection matrix associated to the dual graph of the minimal resolution of a rational double point is the same as the Cartan matrix of the corresponding Dynkin diagram.

---

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.** — 32S45, 17B20, 13A50, 14M25.

**Key words and phrases.** — Rational double singularity, resolution, root system, toric varieties.

The negative definiteness of the intersection matrix of the exceptional divisor of a resolution of a normal surface singularity permits us to study on a set of certain positive divisors supported on the exceptional divisor, which will be called the semigroup of Lipman. By using this set, we can associate a toric variety with a weighted graph whose intersection matrix is negative definite (see [1]).

In this work, motivated by a question appeared in [9], we give a geometric construction of the roots of an ADE diagram, listed in [3] (see Planche I,IV,V,VI,VII). Following [14], we observe that the semigroup of Lipman associated with an ADE diagram is the same as the fundamental Weyl chamber of the corresponding root system. In the last section, using [1], we describe the toric variety corresponding to the fundamental Weyl chamber of an ADE diagram (see [1], [15]).

## 2. Rational Singularities

Let  $S$  be a germ at  $\xi$  of a complex two dimensional normal space with a singularity at  $\xi$ . A *resolution* of  $S$  is a complex nonsingular surface with a proper map  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  such that its restriction to  $X - \pi^{-1}(\xi)$  is an isomorphism and  $X - \pi^{-1}(\xi)$  is dense in  $X$ . A resolution  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  is called *minimal resolution* if any other resolution  $\pi' : X' \rightarrow S$  factorizes by  $\pi$ . It is well known that the exceptional divisor  $E = \pi^{-1}(\xi)$  of  $\pi$  is connected and of dimension 1 (see [7], theorem V.5.2). Let us denote by  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  the irreducible components of  $E$ . The *intersection matrix*  $M(E)$  associated with  $E$  is defined by the intersection  $(E_i \cdot E_j)$  of the components  $E_i$  and  $E_j$ , which is the intersection number of  $E_i$  and  $E_j$  if  $i \neq j$ , and the first Chern class of the normal bundle to  $E_i$  if  $i = j$ . It is a negative definite matrix (see [13]).

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote the free abelian group generated by the irreducible components of  $E$ :

$$\mathcal{G} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n m_i E_i, m_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

The elements of  $\mathcal{G}$  are called the *divisors supported on  $E$* . The support of a divisor  $Y = \sum_i m_i E_i$  is the set of the components for which  $m_i \neq 0$ . In the free abelian group  $\mathcal{G}$ , the intersection matrix  $M(E)$  defines a symmetric bilinear form. We shall denote  $(Y \cdot Z)$  the value of this bilinear form on a pair  $(Y, Z)$  of elements in  $\mathcal{G}$ . An element of  $\mathcal{G}$  in which all the coefficients are non-negative and at least one is positive, is called a *positive divisor*.

**Theorem 2.1 (see [2]).** — *The singularity  $\xi$  of  $S$  is a rational singularity if and only if the arithmetic genus  $\frac{1}{2}(Y \cdot Y + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(w_i - 2)) + 1$  of each positive divisor  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i E_i$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $\leq 0$  where  $w_i = -(E_i \cdot E_i)$ .*

Assume that  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  is a resolution of a normal surface singularity which is not necessarily rational. Let  $f$  be an element of the maximal ideal  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{S,\xi}$ . Then the divisor  $(\pi^* f)$  of  $f$  on  $X$  is written as  $(\pi^* f) = Y + T_f$  where  $Y$  is a positive divisor supported on the exceptional divisor  $E$  of  $\pi$  and  $T_f$ , called the strict transform of  $f$

by  $\pi$ , intersects  $E$  in finitely many point at most. Since  $((\pi^* f) \cdot E_i) = 0$  for all  $i$ , we obtain  $(Y \cdot E_i) \leq 0$  for all  $i$ . The inverse is true when the singularity  $\xi$  is rational. We mean that, if  $Y$  is a positive divisor on  $X$  such that  $(Y \cdot E_i) = -(T \cdot E_i)$  for all  $i$ , then there exists a function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $(\pi^* f) = Y + T$  (see [2]). Now, as in [12] (see section 18), let us consider the set

$$\mathcal{E}^+(E) = \{Y \in \mathcal{G} \mid (Y \cdot E_i) \leq 0 \text{ for all } i\}$$

By [18], this set is not empty. It is an additive semigroup: For  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{E}^+(E)$ , we have  $Y_1 + Y_2 \in \mathcal{E}^+(E)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** — The set  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$  is called the semigroup of Lipman.

Since  $E$  is connected, for all  $Y = \sum m_i E_i$  in  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$ , we have  $m_i \geq 1$  for all  $i$ . A partial order on  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$  is defined as follows: For two elements  $Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E_i$  and  $Y_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i E_i$  of  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$ , we say  $Y_1 \leq Y_2$  if  $a_i \leq b_i$  for all  $i$ . The smallest element of this set is called *the fundamental cycle* of the resolution  $\pi$ . The proposition 4.1 in [10], gives the following algorithm to construct the fundamental cycle of a given  $E$ :

Let us denote by  $Z$  the fundamental cycle of  $\pi$ . Consider  $Z_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$ . If  $(Z_1 \cdot E_i) \leq 0$  for all  $i$ , then  $Z_1 = Z$ . If else, there exists an  $E_{i_1}$  such that  $(Z_1 \cdot E_{i_1}) > 0$ ; in this case, we put  $Z_2 = Z_1 + E_{i_1}$  and we see whether  $(Z_2 \cdot E_i) \leq 0$  for all  $i$ . The term  $Z_j$ , ( $j \geq 1$ ), of the sequence satisfies, either  $(Z_j \cdot E_i) \leq 0$  for all  $i$ , then we put  $Z = Z_j$ , or there is an irreducible component  $E_{i_j}$  such that  $(Z_j \cdot E_{i_j}) > 0$ , then we put  $Z_{j+1} = Z_j + E_{i_j}$ . Thus the fundamental cycle of  $\pi$  is the first cycle  $Z_k$  of this sequence such that  $(Z_k \cdot E_i) \leq 0$  for all  $i$ . By the same method, we can construct all other elements of  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$  (see [14] or [17]).

The following result of Artin characterize what an exceptional divisor of a resolution of a rational singularity looks like:

**Theorem 2.3 (see [2]).** — *A singularity of a normal analytic surface in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  is rational if and only if the arithmetic genus of the fundamental cycle of the exceptional divisor of a resolution of the singularity vanishes.*

This gives:

**Corollary 2.4 (see [2]).** — *The exceptional divisor of any resolution of a rational singularity is normal crossing, with each  $E_i$  nonsingular and of genus zero, and any two distinct components intersect transversally at most in one point.*

A proof of this corollary can be found also in [17].

Then the *dual graph* associated with the exceptional divisor of a resolution of a rational singularity, in which each  $E_i$  is represented by a vertex and each intersection point is represented by an edge between the vertices corresponding to the intersecting components, is a tree. Each vertex in the dual graph is weighted by  $-(E_i \cdot E_i)$ . Conversely, with a given weighted graph, by plumbing, we can associate a *configuration*

of curves embedded in a nonsingular surface and, if such a configuration of curves satisfies theorem 2.3, its contraction gives a rational singularity of a normal analytic surface (see [6], [11]).

**Example 2.5.** — A configuration of curves associated with an ADE diagram is contracted to a rational singularity of a normal analytic surface.

Moreover, we have:

**Proposition 2.6 (see [2]).** — Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  be the minimal resolution of the rational singularity  $\xi$  of  $S$ . Then the multiplicity of  $S$  at  $\xi$  equals  $-(Z \cdot Z)$  where  $Z$  is the fundamental cycle of  $\pi$ .

Recall that the minimal resolution is characterized by  $(E_i \cdot E_i) \leq -2$  for all irreducible components  $E_i$  of the exceptional divisor. A rational double point is a rational singularity for which the fundamental cycle of the minimal resolution satisfies  $(Z \cdot Z) = -2$ . We know that a rational double point of a surface is defined by the power series with the form  $f(x, y) + z^2 = 0$ . By using the results given above, we deduce:

**Proposition 2.7 (see [2] or [4]).** — A normal analytic surface singularity is a rational double point if and only if the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution of the singularity is a configuration of curves associated with one of the ADE diagrams.

### 3. Root systems of rational double points

There is a well known construction of ADE diagrams starting from a semisimple Lie algebra. In this section, we are interested in the inverse of that construction, as suggested in [9]. We will see that, using the geometry of a Dynkin diagram, we can obtain the roots of the corresponding semisimple Lie algebra. This gives a partial answer to the question of Ito and Nakamura (see [9], p.194).

Let  $V$  be an euclidean space endowed with a positive definite symmetric bilinear form  $(,)$ . A reflection  $s$  on  $V$  is an orthogonal transformation  $s : V \rightarrow V$  such that, for  $v \in V$ ,  $s(v) = -v$  and it fixes pointwise the hyperplane  $H_v = \{u \in V \mid (u, v) = 0\}$  of  $V$ . We can describe the reflection by the formula  $s_v(u) = u - \frac{2(u,v)}{(v,v)}v$ .

**Definition 3.1.** — A subset  $R$  of  $V$  is called a root system if

- (i) it is finite, generates  $V$  and doesn't contain 0,
- (ii) for every  $v \in R$ , there exists a unique reflection  $s_v$  such that  $s_v(R) = R$ ,
- (iii) for every  $v \in R$ , the only multiples of  $v$  in  $R$  are  $\pm v$ ,
- (iv) for  $u, v \in R$ , we have  $\frac{2(u,v)}{(v,v)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The finite group generated by the reflections is called the Weyl group. See [8] for more details.

In what follows,  $E$  will denote a configuration of curves associated with an ADE diagram, called ADE configuration. Now, following [14], (see p.158), we want to establish the relation between the root systems and the semigroup of Lipman of  $E$ . Denote by  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  the irreducible components of  $E$ . We know that  $(E_i \cdot E_j)$  equals  $-2$  if  $i = j$  and equals  $0$  or  $1$  if  $i \neq j$  (see [4] or [12]). Now, consider the following subset of  $\mathcal{G}$ :

$$R(E) = \{Y \in \mathcal{G} \mid (Y \cdot Y) = -2\}.$$

**Proposition 3.2 (see [14]).** — *The set  $R(E)$  is a root system.*

Replacing the inner product in the definition above by the symmetric bilinear form defined by the intersection matrix  $M(E)$ , we can see that  $R(E)$  satisfies the conditions of the definition above.

We will call *root divisors* the elements of  $R(E)$ . By definition,  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  and  $-E_1, \dots, -E_n$  are root divisors but  $E_i - E_j$  is not a root divisor since  $(E_i - E_j \cdot E_i - E_j) \neq -2$  for any  $i \neq j$ . Let us denote  $B = \{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ . We can see that  $B$  is a vector space basis of  $R(E)$  in  $\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$  and every element  $Y$  in  $R(E)$  can be written as the sum of  $E_i$ 's with coefficients all nonnegative or all nonpositive (compare with [8], pp.47-48). If we denote by  $R^+(E)$  the set of the elements of  $R(E)$  with coefficients all nonnegative, then we have  $R(E) = R^+(E) \cup (-R^+(E))$ .

**Proposition 3.3 (see [14]).** — *Let  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E_i$  be the fundamental cycle of  $E$ . Then, for each root divisor  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i E_i$  in  $R(E)$ , we have  $m_1 \leq a_1, \dots, m_n \leq a_n$ .*

The fundamental cycle is called the highest (or biggest) root divisor in  $R(E)$ .

*Proof.* — Since  $E$  is the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution of a rational double point, we have  $(Z \cdot Z) = -2$ . So  $Z \in R(E)$ . Assume that there is a positive divisor  $Y$  in  $R(E)$  such that  $Y > Z$  and  $(Y \cdot Y) = -2$ . So we have  $Y = Z + D$  where  $D$  is a positive divisor. This gives  $(Y \cdot Y) = (Z \cdot Z) + 2(Z \cdot D) + (D \cdot D)$ . Thus  $2(Z \cdot D) = -(D \cdot D)$ . Since  $Z$  is the fundamental cycle, we have  $(Z \cdot E_i) \leq 0$  for all  $i$ , so  $(Z \cdot D) \leq 0$ . This implies  $D = 0$ .  $\square$

Hence, we can calculate the highest root divisor by the algorithm of Laufer given in the preceding section. The following proposition gives an algorithm to construct all elements of  $R(E)$  from  $Z$  by using  $B$ :

**Theorem 3.4.** — *Let  $R^+(E) = \{Y_0, \dots, Y_k\}$  with  $Y_k = Z$ . Then, for each  $j = 0, \dots, k-1$ , there exists an element  $Y_t$  in  $R^+(E)$  such that  $(Y_t \cdot E_i) = k_i < 0$  and  $Y_j = Y_t + k_i E_i$  for some  $i$ . Inversely, for each  $E_i$  in  $B$  such that  $(Y_t \cdot E_i) = k_i < 0$ ,  $Y_t + k_i E_i$  is a root divisor in  $R(E)$ .*

*Proof.* — The existence of at least one irreducible component  $E_i$  in each  $Y_j$  such that  $(Y_j \cdot E_i) < 0$  is due to negative definiteness of the intersection matrix. Then, theorem follows from the fact that  $(Y_t + k_i E_i) \cdot (Y_t + k_i E_i) = -2$ .  $\square$

(Compare the root divisors obtained by the theorem with the roots given in [3] (see Planche I,IV,V,VI,VII).)

In particular, we have:

**Corollary 3.5.** — *The divisor  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$  (i.e.  $m_i = 1$  for all  $i$ ) is an element of  $R^+(E)$ .*

*Proof.* — It follows from theorem 3.4. □

Now, for each  $E_i \in B$ , consider the hyperplane  $H_i = \{P \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (P \cdot E_i) = 0\}$ . It divides  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into two parts such that:

$$H_i^+ := \{D \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (D \cdot E_i) > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad H_i^- := \{D \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (D \cdot E_i) < 0\}.$$

We have  $H_i^+ = -H_i^-$ . A connected component of  $\mathbb{R}^n - \bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i$  is called a (Weyl) chamber and the chamber defined by  $C(E) := \bigcap_{E_i \in B} (H_i^-)$  is called the fundamental (Weyl) chamber (see [8]). Thus the closure of  $C(E)$ ,

$$\overline{C}(E) := \{D \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (D \cdot E_i) \leq 0\},$$

is a closed convex cone. Then:

**Remark 3.6.** — Let  $E$  be an ADE configuration. The semigroup  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$  of Lipman is the fundamental chamber  $\overline{C}(E)$ . In particular, the highest root of  $R(E)$  belongs to  $\overline{C}(E)$ .

#### 4. Toric varieties

The fundamental chamber, or equivalently the semigroup of Lipman, of an ADE configuration defines a polyhedral cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In this section, by using [1], we will construct the toric variety corresponding to that cone.

We start by recalling what a toric variety is. Let  $N$  be a lattice which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a rational polyhedral cone in the real vector space  $N_{\mathbb{R}} = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$  which contains no line through the origin. Denote by  $M = \text{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$  the dual lattice of  $N$ . The dual cone  $\check{\sigma}$  is the set of vectors in  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  which are nonnegative on  $\sigma$ . The semigroup  $S_{\sigma} := \check{\sigma} \cap M = \{u \in M \mid (u, v) \geq 0, \text{ for all } v \in \sigma\}$  is finitely generated. We denote by  $\chi^u$  the element in the algebra  $\mathbb{C}[S_{\sigma}]$  corresponding to the element  $u$  of  $S_{\sigma}$ . Each element of  $\mathbb{C}[S_{\sigma}]$  is in the form of a finite sum  $\sum a_i \chi^{u_i}$  for  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $u_i \in S_{\sigma}$ . The variety  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[S_{\sigma}]$  is an affine toric variety (see [5] for more details).

Here we want to find the toric variety  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\check{\sigma} \cap M]$  when  $\sigma$  is defined by  $\overline{C}(E)$  where  $E$  is an ADE configuration. Notice that  $\overline{C}(E)$  satisfies the conditions on the cone by which we construct an affine toric variety above. In order to construct the toric variety corresponding to  $\overline{C}(E)$ , we first need to find the generators of  $\overline{C}(E)$ , which are the generators of  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$ : Consider  $F'_i$  such that  $(F'_i \cdot E_j) = -\delta_{ij}$ . We obtain  $F'_i = \sum_{j=1}^n m_{ij} E_j$  with  $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ . The divisor  $F_i$  such that  $F'_i = k_i \cdot F_i$  is a

positive divisor where  $k_i$  denotes the least common factor of the denominators of the coefficients  $m_{ij}$ , ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ).

**Theorem 4.1 (see [1]).** — *With the preceding notation,  $F_1, \dots, F_n$  belong to  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$  and they generate the cone  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}^+$ .*

*Proof.* — By construction,  $F_1, \dots, F_n$  belong to  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$ . We will show that each element in  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$  can be written as a linear combination of the elements  $F_i$ 's with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}^+$ .

Let  $G$  be the semigroup generated by  $F_1, \dots, F_n$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be as defined before. We need to show that  $\mathcal{E}^+(E) = \mathcal{G} \cap G$ : Let  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i E_i$  be an element of  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$ . Consider  $M(E) \cdot (m_1, \dots, m_n)^t = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^t$  where  $M(E)$  is the intersection matrix (same as the Cartan matrix multiplied by  $-1$ ) of  $E$ . Notice that  $(Y \cdot E_i) = y_i$ , so  $y_i \leq 0$  for all  $i$ . Let  $D = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i E_i$  be an element of  $G$ . So,  $d_i \in \mathbb{Q}^+$  for all  $i$ . Assume  $M(E) \cdot (d_1, \dots, d_n)^t = (0, \dots, 0, -1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$  where the entry  $-1$  is in the  $i$ -th row. The fact that  $M(E)$  is an invertible matrix gives  $Y = -\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i E_i$ . So, the coefficient  $-d_i y_i$  is in  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  for all  $i$ . This says  $Y \in \mathcal{G} \cap G$ .

Now we will see the inclusion  $\mathcal{G} \cap G \subset \mathcal{E}^+(E)$ : Let  $D \in \mathcal{G} \cap G$ . This means  $D = \sum_{i=1}^n b_j F_j$  with  $b_j \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ . Consider  $(D \cdot E_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j (F_j \cdot E_i)$ . Since  $F_j$ , ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ), is an element of  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$  and  $b_j \in \mathbb{Q}^+$  for all  $j$ , we have  $(D \cdot E_i) \leq 0$  for all  $i$ . Hence  $D \in \mathcal{E}^+(E)$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}^+(E) = \mathcal{G} \cap G$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.2.** — The elements  $F_1, \dots, F_n$  are called the generators of  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$  (or  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(E)$ ).

Now, let  $N$  be a lattice generated by  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  and  $M$  be its dual lattice generated by  $E_1^*, \dots, E_n^*$  such that  $(E_i^* \cdot E_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . Let  $N'$  be the lattice generated by  $F_1, \dots, F_n$  and  $M'$  be its dual lattice generated by  $F_1^*, \dots, F_n^*$  such that  $(F_i^* \cdot F_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . Since  $N'$  is a subgroup of  $N$  of finite index, we have:

**Theorem 4.3 (see [5]).** — *With preceding notation, we have  $\mathbb{C}[\check{\sigma} \cap M] = \mathbb{C}[M']^{N/N'}$ .*

This means that the affine toric variety  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\check{\sigma} \cap M]$  is the quotient  $\mathbb{C}^n/G$  where  $G$  is the finite group  $N/N'$ .

Now, let us see the construction method of the affine toric variety corresponding to  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(E)$  when  $E$  is associated with the diagram  $A_2$ . For this, it is enough to describe the finite group  $N/N'$  and to see the action of this group on  $\mathbb{C}[M']$ : It is well known that the intersection matrix  $M(E)$  associated with  $A_2$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$ . From the formula  $(F_i^* \cdot E_j) = -\delta_{ij}$  given above, we find the generators of  $\mathcal{E}^+(E)$  as  $F_1 = 2E_1 + E_2$  and  $F_2 = E_1 + 2E_2$ .

Consider the lattice  $N = \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle$  and its sublattice  $N' = \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle$  and, denote by  $M = \langle E_1^*, E_2^* \rangle$  and  $M' = \langle F_1^*, F_2^* \rangle$  the dual lattices of  $N$  and  $N'$  respectively. It is easy to see that  $F_1^* = \frac{-1}{3}(-2E_1^* + E_2^*)$  and  $F_2^* = \frac{-1}{3}(E_1^* - 2E_2^*)$ . Notice that

$\det M(E) = 3$  and  $M'$  is generated by the rows of the intersection matrix multiplied by  $-1/\det M(E)$ .

Now, let us describe the finite group  $N/N'$ :

**Proposition 4.4.** — *The group  $N/N'$  is generated by  $\overline{E}_1$  and  $\overline{E}_2$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  with  $\text{ord}(\overline{E}_i) = 3$  for  $i = 1, 2$  where  $\overline{E}_i = E_i + N'$  and  $\text{ord}(\overline{E}_i)$  is the order of  $\overline{E}_i$  in  $N/N'$ .*

*Proof.* — Let  $\overline{F} = F + N' \in N/N'$ . This says  $F \in N'$  if and only if there exist  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $F = a_1 F_1 + a_2 F_2$ . Hence there exist the  $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $F = b_1 E_1 + b_2 E_2$ . Using the generators  $F_i$  obtained above, we find  $(2a_1 + a_2)E_1 + (a_1 + 2a_2)E_2 = b_1 E_1 + b_2 E_2$ . For  $b_2 = 0$ , we find  $\text{ord}(\overline{E}_1)$  as the smallest  $b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying this equation, so  $b_1 = 3$ . For  $b_1 = 0$ , we find  $b_2 = \text{ord}(\overline{E}_2) = 3$ . Therefore the proposition follows.  $\square$

Let us denote  $\overline{E}_i$  by  $\eta_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . We have  $\eta_i = (\exp 2\pi i)^{1/3}$  such that  $\eta_i^3 = 1$ . Denote  $\mathbb{C}[\check{\sigma} \cap M] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[M'] = \mathbb{C}[u_1, u_2]$  with  $u_1 = x_1^{2/3} x_2^{-1/3}$  and  $u_2 = x_1^{-1/3} x_2^{2/3}$ . The action of  $N/N'$  on the coordinates of  $\mathbb{C}[M']$  (see p.34 in [5]) gives:

$$\eta_1(u_1, u_2) = (\eta_1^2 u_1, \eta_1^{-1} u_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_2(u_1, u_2) = (\eta_2^{-1} u_1, \eta_2^2 u_2).$$

Using proposition 4.4, we find:

**Theorem 4.5.** — *With the preceding notation, the ring of invariants  $\mathbb{C}[M']^{N/N'}$  is generated by  $u_1^3, u_1^2 u_2, u_1 u_2^2, u_2^3$ .*

*Proof.* — Let  $u = u_1^{k_1} u_2^{k_2}$ . By the action of the finite group  $N/N'$  on  $u$ , we have:

$$\eta_1(u) = \eta_1^{2k_1 - k_2} u \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_2(u) = \eta_2^{-k_1 + 2k_2} u$$

Since the ring of invariants  $\mathbb{C}[M']^{N/N'}$  is determined by the smallest  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  satisfying  $\eta_i(u) = u$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , we obtain the following system of equations:

$$2k_1 - k_2 = 3l_1 \quad \text{and} \quad -k_1 + 2k_2 = 3l_2.$$

for some  $l_1$  and  $l_2$ . Hence  $k_i = 0, 1, 2 \pmod{3}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ : When  $k_1 = 3$  (resp.  $k_2 = 3$ ) we have  $k_2 = 0$  (resp.  $k_1 = 0$ ); so,  $u_1^3$  and  $u_2^3$  are in  $\mathbb{C}[M']^{N/N'}$ . When  $k_1 = 2$ , we obtain  $k_2 = 1$ ; so,  $u_1^2 u_2 \in \mathbb{C}[M']^{N/N'}$ . When  $k_1 = 1$ , we obtain  $k_2 = 2$ ; so,  $u_1 u_2^2 \in \mathbb{C}[M']^{N/N'}$ .  $\square$

Now, we need to find the ideal, called *toric ideal*, whose zero set is the affine toric variety  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\check{\sigma} \cap M]$ . For this, we use [16]. The idea is to identify a  $2 \times 4$  matrix  $\mathcal{A} = (m_1 \dots m_4)$  with  $m_i = (m_{1i}, m_{2i})^t$  to the generators  $u^{m_i} = u_1^{m_{1i}} u_2^{m_{2i}}$  given in theorem 4.5. By lemma 1.1 in [16], the toric ideal  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is generated by  $z^{v_+} - z^{v_-}$  for all integer vectors  $v = v_+ - v_-$  in the kernel of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Hence, we conclude:

**Corollary 4.6.** — Let  $E$  be the configuration of curves associated with the diagram  $A_2$ . The affine toric variety  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\bar{\sigma} \cap M]$  corresponding to  $\bar{C}(E)$  is defined as the zero set of the toric ideal

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}} = \langle z_1 z_3 - z_2^2, z_1 z_4 - z_2 z_3, z_2 z_4 - z_3^2 \rangle$$

where  $z_i = u^{m_i}$ .

*Proof.* — The matrix  $\mathcal{A}$  corresponding to the generators given in theorem 4.5 is  $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ . So, the vectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

of the kernel of  $\mathcal{A}$  generate our toric ideal  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$  (see lemma 1.1 and example 1.2.(a) in [16]).  $\square$

Applying the same method to any ADE configuration, we can obtain the corresponding toric variety. The reader can find each of these types in detail in [1]. We remark that our interest for the construction method of the affine toric variety corresponding to  $\bar{C}(E)$  is coming from [15]. One of the natural continuations is to explore the possibility of a relation between the invariants of the affine toric variety and those of the corresponding normal surface singularity.

## References

- [1] S. ALTINOK & M. TOSUN – Toric varieties associated with weighted graphs, submitted for publication, 2003.
- [2] M. ARTIN – On isolated rational singularities of surfaces, *Amer. J. Math.* **88** (1966), p. 129–136.
- [3] N. BOURBAKI – *Groupes et algèbres de Lie, Ch. IV, V, VI*, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [4] P. DU VAL – On isolated singularities which do not affect the conditions of adjunction, Part I, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **30** (1934), p. 453–465.
- [5] W. FULTON – *Introduction to toric varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 131, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [6] H. GRAUERT – Über Modifikationen und exzeptionelle analytische Mengen, *Math. Ann.* **146** (1962), p. 331–368.
- [7] R. HARTSHORNE – *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [8] J.E. HUMPHREYS – *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Math., Springer.
- [9] Y. ITO & I. NAKAMURA – *Hilbert schemes and simple singularities*, London Math. Society Lect. Note Series, vol. 264, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999.
- [10] H. LAUFER – On rational singularities, *Amer. J. Math.* **94** (1972), p. 597–608.

- [11] LÊ D.T. & M. TOSUN – Combinatorics of rational surface singularities, to appear.
- [12] J. LIPMAN – Rational singularities, with applications..., *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **36** (1969), p. 195–279.
- [13] D. MUMFORD – The topology of normal singularities of an algebraic surface and a criterion for simplicity, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **9** (1961).
- [14] H. PINKHAM – Singularités rationnelles de surfaces, in *Séminaire sur les singularités des surfaces*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 777, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [15] C. PROCESI – The toric variety associated to Weyl chambers, *Mots*, Hermes, 1990.
- [16] B. STURMFELS – Equations defining toric varieties, in *Algebraic geometry Santa Cruz*, 1995, p. 437–449.
- [17] M. TOSUN – Tyurina components and rational cycles for rational singularities, *Turkish J. Math.* **23** (1999), no. 3, p. 361–374.
- [18] O. ZARISKI – The theorem of Riemann-Roch for high multiples of an effective divisor on an algebraic surface, *Ann. of Math.* **76** (1962), p. 560–615.

---

M. TOSUN, Yildiz Technical University, Dept. of Math., Davutpasa – Eserler, Istanbul, Turkey  
Feza Gursey Institute, Emek mh. N° 68, 81220 Gergelkoy, Istanbul, Turkey  
E-mail : [tosun@gurseyy.gov.tr](mailto:tosun@gurseyy.gov.tr)